



**Attachment to comments for Midterm Evaluation Draft Technical Assessment Report for
Model Year 2022-2025 Light-Duty Vehicle GHG Emissions and CAFE Standards**

EPA Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0827

NHTSA Docket No.: NHTSA-2016-0068

Supplement to Fuel Freedom Foundation comments

Appendix B: Summary of high-octane research

The Competitive Position of Ethanol as an Octane Enhancer

Authors: S. Irwin, D. Good

"The recent rise of ethanol prices above gasoline prices has raised the specter of ethanol losing its place as the cheapest source of octane. While this would not necessarily limit ethanol consumption due to the existence of the RFS conventional ethanol mandate, it would have implications for the cost of complying with the RFS mandates. To assess any changes in the competitive position of ethanol in gasoline blends, the price of the aromatic compounds benzene, toluene, and xylene were analyzed relative to the price of ethanol. These compounds have octane ratings generally similar to that of ethanol and have a long history as octane enhancers in gasoline blends. Despite the recent increase in ethanol prices relative to gasoline, ethanol prices still remain below that of the aromatics. As a result, ethanol continues to retain its position as the low cost octane enhancer in gasoline blends."

<http://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2016/02/ethanol-position-as-octane-enhancer.html>

Economic and Environmental Benefits of Higher-Octane Gasoline (2014)

Authors: R.L. Speth, E.W. Chow, R. Malina, S.R.H. Barrett, J.B. Heywood, W.H. Green (MIT Study)

"We find that greater use of high-RON gasoline in appropriately tuned vehicles could reduce annual gasoline consumption in the U.S. by 3.0–4.4%. Accounting for the increase in refinery emissions from production of additional high-RON gasoline, net CO₂ emissions are reduced by 19–35 Mt/y in 2040 (2.5–4.7% of total direct LDV CO₂ emissions). For the strategies studied, the annual direct economic benefit is estimated to be \$0.4–6.4 billion in 2040, and the annual net societal benefit including the social cost of carbon is estimated to be \$1.7–8.8 billion in 2040."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es405557p>

The Effect of Compression Ratio, Fuel Octane Rating, and Ethanol Content on Spark-Ignition Engine Efficiency

Authors: T.G. Leone, J.E. Anderson, R.S. Davis, A. Iqbal, R.A. Reese, II, M.H. Shelby, and W.M. Studzinski

“New vehicle trends to improve efficiency include higher compression ratio, downsizing, turbocharging, downspeeding, and hybridization, each involving greater operation of spark-ignited (SI) engines under higher-load, knock-limited conditions. Higher octane ratings for regular-grade gasoline (with greater knock resistance) are an enabler for these technologies. This literature review discusses both fuel and engine factors affecting knock resistance and their contribution to higher engine efficiency and lower tailpipe CO2 emissions. Increasing compression ratios for future SI engines would be the primary response to a significant increase in fuel octane ratings. Existing LDVs would see more advanced spark timing and more efficient combustion phasing. Higher ethanol content is one available option for increasing the octane ratings of gasoline and would provide additional engine efficiency benefits for part and full load operation.”

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.5b01420?journalCode=esthag>

Effects of Fuel Octane Rating and Ethanol Content on Knock, Fuel Economy, and CO2 for a Turbocharged DI Engine (2014)

Authors: T.G. Leone, E.D. Olin, J.E. Anderson, H.H. Jung, M.H. Shelby, R.A. Stein (Ford, AVL Powertrain Engineering study)

"The data were used in a vehicle simulation of a 3.5L EcoBoost F150, which showed that E20-96 RON at 11.9:1 CR offers 5% improvement in tailpipe CO2 emissions and 1% improvement in miles per gallon (MPG) fuel economy relative to E10-91RON at 10:1 CR. E30-101 RON at 13:1 CR in this vehicle yielded 6–9% improvement in CO2 emissions and 2% worse to 1% better MPG fuel economy, depending on the drive cycle.”

<http://papers.sae.org/2014-01-1228/>

Effects of High-Octane Ethanol Blends on Four Legacy FlexFuel Vehicles, and a Turbocharged GDI Vehicle (2015)

Authors: J.F. Thomas, B. West, S.P. Huff

"Experiments were performed with four FFVs using a 10% ethanol fuel (E10) with 88 pump octane, and a market gasoline blended with ethanol to make a 30% by volume ethanol fuel (E30) with 94 pump octane. The research octane numbers were 92.4 for the E10 fuel and 100.7 for the E30 fuel. Two vehicles had gasoline direct injected (GDI) engines, and two featured port fuel injection (PFI). Significant wide open throttle (WOT) performance improvements were measured for three of the four FFVs, with one vehicle showing no change. Additionally, a conventional (non-FFV) vehicle with a small turbocharged direct injected engine was tested with a regular grade of gasoline with no ethanol (E0) and a splash blend of this same fuel with 15% ethanol by volume (E15). RON was increased from 90.7 for the E0 to 97.8 for the E15 blend. Significant wide open throttle and thermal efficiency performance improvement was measured for this vehicle, which achieved near volumetric fuel economy parity on the aggressive US06 drive cycle, demonstrating the potential for improved fuel economy in forthcoming downsized, downsped engines with high-octane fuels.”

<http://info.ornl.gov/sites/publications/files/Pub54888.pdf>

Effects of Mid-Level Ethanol Blends on Conventional Vehicle Emissions (2009)

Authors: K. Knoll, B. West, S. Huff, J. Thomas, J. Orban, C. Cooper

"For the aggregate 16-vehicle fleet, increasing ethanol content resulted in reductions in average composite emissions of both NMHC and CO and increases in average emissions of ethanol and aldehydes. Changes in average composite emissions of NMOG and NOX were not statistically significant. By segregating the vehicle fleet according to power-enrichment fueling strategy, a better understanding of ethanol fuel-effect on emissions was realized. Vehicles found to apply long-term fuel trim (LTFT) to power-enrichment fueling showed no statistically significant fuel effect on NMOG, NMHC, CO or NOX. For vehicles found to not apply LTFT to power-enrichment, statistically significant reductions in NMHC and CO were observed, as was a statistically significant increase in NOX emissions. Effects of ethanol on NMOG and NMHC emissions were found to also be influenced by power-to-weight ratio, while the effects on NOX emissions were found to be influenced by engine displacement."

<http://papers.sae.org/2009-01-2723/>

Experimental Investigation of Spark-Ignited Combustion with High-Octane Biofuels and EGR.

1. Engine Load Range and Downsize Downsized Opportunity (2014)

Author: D.A. Splitter, J.P. Szybist

"Data suggest that, with midlevel alcohol-gasoline blends, engine and vehicle optimization can offset the reduced fuel energy content of alcohol-gasoline blends and likely reduce vehicle fuel consumption and tailpipe CO2 emissions."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ef401574p>

Experimental Investigation of Spark-Ignited Combustion with High-Octane Biofuels and EGR.

2. Fuel and EGR Effects on Knock-Limited Load and Speed (2014)

Authors: D.A. Splitter, J.P. Szybist

"The results illustrate that intermediate alcohol-gasoline blends exhibit exceptional antiknock properties and performance beyond that indicated by the octane number tests, particularly E30."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ef401575e>

Exploring the Relationship Between Octane Sensitivity and Heat-of-Vaporization (2016)

Authors: C.S. Sluder, J.P. Szybist, R.L. McCormick, M.A. Ratcliff, B.T. Zigler (ORNL, NREL study)

"New studies were performed at ORNL and NREL to further investigate the relationship between HoV and octane sensitivity. Three fuels were formulated for the ORNL study with matched RON and octane sensitivity, but with differing HoV. Experiments with these fuels in a 1.6-liter GTDI engine showed that the fuels exhibited very similar combustion phasing under knock-limited spark advance (KLSA) conditions. Fuels having a range of RON, octane sensitivity, and HoV were tested at NREL in a single-cylinder GDI engine under conditions where octane sensitivity has little effect on knock resistance. KLSA was found to be well correlated with RON. These results reinforce the concept that HoV anti-knock effects can be viewed as a contributor

to octane sensitivity. From this viewpoint, HoV effects manifest themselves as increases in octane sensitivity."

<http://papers.sae.org/2016-01-0836/>

Fuel Economy and CO₂ Emissions of Ethanol-Gasoline Blends in a Turbocharged DI Engine (2013)

Authors: H.H. Jung, T.G. Leone, M.H. Shelby, J.E. Anderson, T. Collings (Ford Study)

"The data was used in a vehicle simulation of a 3.5L EcoBoost pickup truck, which showed that the E20 (96 RON) fuel at 11.9:1 CR offers 5% improvement in U.S. EPA Metro-Highway (M/H) and US06 Highway cycle tank-to-wheels CO₂ emissions over the E10 fuel, with comparable volumetric fuel economy (miles per gallon) and range before refueling. The results also indicated that the E30 (101 RON) fuel at 11.9:1 CR provides improvements in CO₂ emissions of 5% on the EPA M/H cycle and 7.5% on the US06 Highway cycle, while volumetric fuel economy was 3% lower on the M/H cycle and approximately equal on the US06 Highway cycle, compared to the baseline E10 fuel at 10:1 CR."

<http://papers.sae.org/2013-01-1321/>

Heat of Vaporization Measurements for Ethanol Blends Up To 50 Volume Percent in Several Hydrocarbon Blendstocks and Implications for Knock in SI Engines (2015)

Authors: G.M. Chupka, E. Christensen, L. Fouts, T.L. Alleman, M.A. Ratcliff, R.L. McCormick

"Blends of ethanol at 10 to 50 volume percent were prepared with three gasoline blendstocks and a natural gasoline. Performance properties and composition of the blendstocks and blends were measured, including research octane number (RON), motor octane number (MON), net heating value, density, distillation curve, and vapor pressure. RON increases upon blending ethanol but with diminishing returns above about 30 vol%. Above 30% to 40% ethanol the curves flatten and converge at a RON of about 103 to 105, even for the much lower RON NG blendstock. Octane sensitivity ($S = RON - MON$) also increases upon ethanol blending. Gasoline blendstocks with nearly identical S can show significantly different sensitivities when blended with ethanol."

<http://papers.sae.org/2015-01-0763/>

High-Octane Mid-Level Ethanol Blend Market Assessment (2015)

Authors: C. Johnson, E. Newes, A. Brooker, R. McCormick, S. Peterson, P. Leiby, R.U. Martinez, G. Oladosu, M.L. Brown

"The eight deployment scenarios were modeled by the Automotive Deployment Options Projection Tool (ADOPT) to estimate the adoption rate of HOFVs. As shown in Figure ES-1, all scenarios showed the potential for HOFVs to comprise a substantial percentage (43%–79%) of the light-duty vehicle (LDV) stock by 2035. In general, more HOFVs are adopted if HOF is E40 because they offer greater fuel cost savings and offer vehicle manufacturers a greater GHG emissions benefit than if HOF is E25. ... The modeling analyses concur that feedstock availability and cost are not expected to be obstacles to the substantial development of a HOF market across all of the scenarios considered. In numerous scenarios, HOF costs are sufficiently competitive that substantial market share is attained—up to 75 billion gallons of

E40 (30 billion gallons of fuel ethanol) by 2035. This would meet over 60% of LDV fuel demand in that year, given projections from the ADOPT model. However, all scenarios fell short of satisfying 100% of the fuel demanded by LDVs and were therefore limited."

http://www.afdc.energy.gov/uploads/publication/high-octane_mid-level_ethanol_mkt_assessment.pdf

High octane number ethanol–gasoline blends: Quantifying the potential benefits in the United States (2012)

Authors: J.E. Anderson, D.M. DiCicco, J.M. Ginder, U. Kramer, T.G. Leone, H.E. Raney-Pablo, T.J. Wallington

"Higher RON would enable greater thermal efficiency in future engines through higher compression ratio (CR) and/or more aggressive turbocharging and downsizing, and in current engines on the road today through more aggressive spark timing under some driving conditions. Such an approach would differ from the current practice of blending ethanol into a gasoline blendstock formulated with lower octane rating such that the net octane rating of the resulting final blend is unchanged from historical levels."

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016236112002268>

The Impact of Ethanol Fuel Blends on PM Emissions from a Light-Duty GDI Vehicle (2011)

Authors: M.M. Maricq, J.J. Szente, K. Jahr

As the ethanol level in gasoline increases from 0% to 20%, there is possibly a small (<20%) benefit in PM mass and particle number emissions, but this is within test variability. When the ethanol content increases to >30%, there is a statistically significant 30%–45% reduction in PM mass and number emissions observed for both engine calibrations. Particle size is unaffected by ethanol level. PM composition is primarily elemental carbon; the organic fraction increases from ~5% for E0 to 15% for E45 fuel. Engine-out hydrocarbon and NO_x emissions exhibit 10–20% decreases, consistent with oxygenated fuel additives. These results are discussed in the context of the changing commercial fuel and engine technology landscapes."

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02786826.2011.648780>

The Impact of Low Octane Hydrocarbon Blending Streams on the Knock Limit of “E85” (2013)

Authors: J.P. Szybist, B. West

"Results show that nearly all ethanol-containing fuels are more resistant to engine knock than UTG-96 (the only exception being the ethanol blend with 49% n-heptane). This allows ethanol blends made with low octane number hydrocarbons to be operated at significantly more advanced combustion phasing for higher efficiency, as well as at higher engine loads. While experimental results show that the octane number of the hydrocarbon blend stock does impact engine performance, there remains a significant opportunity for engine optimization when considering even the lowest octane fuels that are in compliance with the current revision of ASTM D5798 compared to premium-grade gasoline."

<http://papers.sae.org/2013-01-0888/>

Impacts of mid-level biofuel content in gasoline on SIDI engine-out and tailpipe particulate matter emissions (2011)

Authors: X. He, J.C. Ireland, B.T. Zigler, M.A. Ratcliff, K.E. Knoll, T.L. Alleman, J.T. Tester

"Bi-modal particle size distributions were observed for all operating conditions with peak values at particle sizes of 10 nm and 70 nm. Idle and low-speed / low-load conditions emitted higher total particle numbers than other operating conditions. At idle, the engine-out particulate matter (PM) emissions were dominated by nucleation mode particles, and the production TWC reduced these nucleation mode particles by more than 50%, while leaving the accumulation mode particle distribution unchanged. At an engine load higher than 6 bar net mean effective pressure (NMEP), accumulation mode particles dominated the engine-out particle emissions, and the TWC had little effect. Compared to the baseline gasoline (E0), E10 does not significantly change PM emissions, while E20 and BU12 both reduce PM emissions under the conditions studied. Iso-butanol was observed to impact PM emissions more than ethanol, with up to 50% reductions at some conditions."

http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/renew_pubs/40/

Increasing Biofuel Deployment and Utilization through Development of Renewable Super Premium: Infrastructure Assessment (2014)

Authors: K. Moriarty, M. Kass, T. Theiss

"Retail fueling station equipment is commercially available to accommodate both an E25 and an E25+ fuel. Infrastructure costs to introduce E25 are not expected to be significant, but are much higher for any ethanol blend above E25. Both industry stakeholders and manufacturers are more supportive of an RSP at the E25 level with an octane number around 100. The challenges and barriers faced with RSP are not technical but economic, and are similar to those experienced in the deployment of E15 and E85. The higher level of ethanol in RSP does not make the fueling infrastructure issues any worse—the primary issue is demonstrating compliance with applicable legislation, codes, and standards. Retail station owners will need equipment records to demonstrate compatibility with tanks, pipes, and other associated underground equipment."

http://www.afdc.energy.gov/uploads/publication/increasing_biofuel_deployment.pdf

Intermediate Alcohol-Gasoline Blends, Fuels for Enabling Increased Engine Efficiency and Powertrain Possibilities (2014)

Authors: D.A. Splitter, J.P. Szybist (ORNL study)

"The results demonstrate that E30 may further the downsizing and downspeeding of engines by achieving increased low speed torque, even with high compression ratios. The results suggest that at mid-level alcohol-gasoline blends, engine and vehicle optimization can offset the reduced fuel energy content of alcohol-gasoline blends, and likely reduce vehicle fuel consumption and tailpipe CO2 emissions."

<http://papers.sae.org/2014-01-1231/>

Investigation of Knock Limited Compression Ratio of Ethanol Gasoline Blends (2010)

Authors: J.P. Szybist, M. Foster, W.R. Moore, K. Confer, A. Youngquist, R. Wagner

"It was found that at substantially similar engine conditions, increasing the ethanol content of the fuel results in higher engine efficiency and higher engine power. These results can be partially attributed to a charge cooling effect and a higher heating value of a stoichiometric mixture for ethanol blends (per unit mass of air). Additional thermodynamic effects on the ratio of specific heats (γ) and a mole multiplier are also explored. It was also found that high CR can increase the efficiency of ethanol fuel blends, and as a result, the fuel economy penalty associated with the lower energy content of E85 can be reduced by about twenty percent. Such operation necessitates that the engine be operated in a de-rated manner for gasoline, which is knock-prone at these high CR, in order to maintain compatibility. By using early and late intake valve closing strategies, good efficiency is maintained with gasoline, but peak power is about 33% lower than with E85."

<http://papers.sae.org/2010-01-0619/>

Light-Duty Vehicle CO₂ Targets Consistent with 450 ppm CO₂ Stabilization (2014)

Authors: S.L. Winkler, T.J. Wellington, H. Maas, H. Hass (Ford study)

"New light-duty vehicle fuel economy and CO₂ regulations in the U.S. through 2025 and in the EU through 2020 are broadly consistent with the CO₂ glide paths. The glide path is at the upper end of the discussed 2025 EU range of 68–78 g CO₂/km. The proposed China regulation for 2020 is more stringent than the glide path, while the 2017 Brazil regulation is less stringent. Existing regulations through 2025 are broadly consistent with the light-duty vehicle sector contributing to stabilizing CO₂ at approximately 450 ppm. The glide paths provide long-term guidance for LDV powertrain/fuel development."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es405651p>

Novel Characterization of GDI Engine Exhaust for Gasoline and Mid-Level Gasoline-Alcohol Blends (2014)

Authors: J.M Storey, S. Lewis, J.P. Szybist, J. Thomas, T. Barone, M. Eibl, E. Nafziger, B. Kaul (ORNL study)

"E30 was chosen to maximize octane enhancement while minimizing ethanol-blend level and iBu48 was chosen to match the same fuel oxygen level as E30. Particle size and number, organic carbon and elemental carbon (OC/EC), soot HC speciation, and aldehydes and ketones were all analyzed during the experiment. A new method for soot HC speciation is introduced using a direct, thermal desorption/pyrolysis inlet for the gas chromatograph (GC). Results showed high levels of aromatic compounds were present in the PM, including downstream of the catalyst, and the aldehydes were dominated by the alcohol blending."

<http://papers.sae.org/2014-01-1606/>

Octane Benefits (Mobile Source Technical Review Subcommittee) (2015)

Authors: C. Jones (GM)

A presentation by automakers highlighting the benefits of a high octane fuel combined with proper engines.

https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-05/documents/050515mstrs_jones.pdf

Octane Response in a Downsized, Highly Boosted Direct Injection Spark Ignition Engine (2014)

Authors: S.M. Remmert, R.F. Cracknell, R. Head, A. Schuetze, A.G.J. Lewis, S. Akehurst, J.W.G. Turner, A. Popplewell (Shell, Univ. of Bath, Jaguar Land Rover study)

"This study demonstrates that fuel octane quality continues to be important for the performance of emerging downsized engine technologies. Furthermore, the trend for continued engine downsizing will increase the potential performance benefit associated with knock resistant fuels."

<http://papers.sae.org/2014-01-1397/>

Refining Economics of U.S. Gasoline: Octane Ratings and Ethanol Content (2014)

Authors: D.S. Hirshfeld, J.A. Kolb

"Increasing the octane rating of the U.S. gasoline pool (currently ~93 Research Octane Number (RON)) would enable higher engine efficiency for light-duty vehicles (e.g., through higher compression ratio), facilitating compliance with federal fuel economy and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions standards. The federal Renewable Fuels Standard calls for increased renewable fuel use in U.S. gasoline, primarily ethanol, a high-octane gasoline component. Linear programming modeling of the U.S. refining sector was used to assess the effects on refining economics, CO₂ emissions, and crude oil use of increasing average octane rating by increasing (i) the octane rating of refinery-produced hydrocarbon blendstocks for oxygenate blending (BOBs) and (ii) the volume fraction (Exx) of ethanol in finished gasoline. The analysis indicated the refining sector could produce BOBs yielding finished E20 and E30 gasolines with higher octane ratings at modest additional refining cost, for example, ~1¢/gal for 95-RON E20 or 97-RON E30, and 3–5¢/gal for 95-RON E10, 98-RON E20, or 100-RON E30. Reduced BOB volume (from displacement by ethanol) and lower BOB octane could (i) lower refinery CO₂ emissions (e.g., ~ 3% for 98-RON E20, ~ 10% for 100-RON E30) and (ii) reduce crude oil use (e.g., ~ 3% for 98-RON E20, ~ 8% for 100-RON E30)."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es5021668>

Renewable Oxygenate Blending Effects on Gasoline Properties (2011)

Authors: E. Christensen, J. Yanowitz, M. Ratcliff, R.L. McCormick

"Chemical and physical properties of the blends were compared to the requirements of ASTM specification D4814 for spark-ignited engine fuels to determine their utility as gasoline extenders. Vapor pressure, vapor lock protection, distillation, density, octane rating, viscosity, and potential for extraction into water were measured. Blending of ethanol at 3.7% oxygen increased vapor pressure by 5–7 kPa as expected. 2-Propanol slightly increased vapor pressure in the lowest-volatility BOB, while all other oxygenates caused a reduction in vapor pressure of up to 10 kPa. Coefficients for the Wilson equation were fitted to the measured vapor pressure data and were shown to adequately predict the vapor pressure of oxygenate–gasoline blends for five individual alcohols and MTHF in different gasolines. Higher alcohols and other oxygenates generally improved vapor lock protection. Butyl levulinate blended at 2.7% oxygen caused the distillation end point to exceed 225 °C, thus failing the specification. Distillation parameters were within specification limits for the other oxygenates tested. Other than

ethanol, MF, and DMF, the oxygenates examined will not produce blends with satisfactory octane ratings at these blend levels when blended into lower-octane blendstocks designed for ethanol blending. However, all oxygenates tested except 1-pentanol and MTHF produced an increase in octane rating."

<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ef2010089>

Summary of High-Octane, Mid-Level Ethanol Blends Study (2016)

Authors: T. Theiss, T. Alleman, A. Brooker, A. Elgowainy, G. Fioroni, J. Han, S. Huff, C. Johnson, M. Kass, P. Leiby, R.U. Martinez, R. McCormick, K. Moriarty, E. Newes, G. Oladosu, J.P. Szybist, J. Thomas, M. Wang, B. West

"The experimental and analytical results of this study considered together show that HOF, specifically mid-level ethanol blends (E25-E40), could offer significant benefits for the United States. These benefits include an improvement in vehicle fuel efficiency in vehicles designed and dedicated to use the increased octane. The improved efficiency of 5-10% could offset the lower energy density of the increased ethanol content, resulting in volumetric fuel economy parity of E25-E40 blends with E10. Most of the flex-fuel vehicles on the road today would be expected to have faster acceleration using HOF, which offers a marketing opportunity in the near term. Furthermore, dedicated HOF vehicles would provide lower well-to-wheel GHG emissions from a combination of improved vehicle efficiency and increased use of ethanol. If ethanol were produced using cellulosic sources, GHG emissions would be expected to be up 17 to 30% lower than those from E10 using conventional ethanol and gasoline. Refinery modeling suggests that refiners could use higher levels of ethanol to meet potentially high market shares of HOF. Analysis of the HOF market and the primary stakeholders reveals that the automotive OEMs, consumers, fuel retailers, and ethanol producers all stand to benefit to varying degrees as HOF increases its market share. The results depend on the underlying assumptions; but HOF offers an opportunity for improved fuel economy, and these dedicated vehicles are likely to be appealing to consumers. The possible limiting constraints to significant HOF market penetration were identified. Regulatory uncertainty and insufficient retailing investment were considered the most likely constraints to limit the introduction of HOF. HOF could be limited by the rate of construction of additional integrated biorefinery capacity, and poor dedicated HOF vehicle penetration would also limit the overall HOF market. Feedstock availability was not found to limit the growth of HOF."

<http://info.ornl.gov/sites/publications/Files/Pub61169.pdf>

A Vehicle Manufacturer's Perspective on Higher-Octane Fuels (2014)

Authors: T.G. Leone (Ford)

A presentation by Tom Leone/Ford on how high octane is both a good idea and necessary to meet CO2 goals.

http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/11/f19/leone_biomass_2014.pdf

Well-to-Wheels Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis of High-Octane Fuels With Various Market Shares and Ethanol Blending Levels

Authors: J. Han, A. Elgowainy, M. Wang

“The overall WTW GHG emission changes associated with HOF vehicles were dominated by the positive impact associated with vehicle efficiency gains and ethanol blending levels, while the refining of gasoline blendstock for oxygenate blending (BOB) for various HOF blend levels (E10, E25, and E40) had a much smaller impact on WTW GHG emissions. The 5% and 10% MPGGE gains by HOF reduced the WTW GHG emissions by 4% and 8%, respectively, relative to baseline E10 gasoline. The additional WTW GHG reductions when corn ethanol was used for blending were 5% and 10% for E25 and E40, respectively. As a result, when corn ethanol was used, total WTW GHG emission reductions from using E10, E25, and E40 relative to baseline E10 gasoline were 5%, 10%, and 15%, respectively, with a 5% MPGGE gain, while using E40 achieved an 18% total WTW GHG emission reduction with a 10% MPGGE gain. When corn stover ethanol was used for blending, the additional WTW GHG reductions were 12% and 24% for E25 and E40, respectively. As a result, with the corn stover ethanol, total WTW GHG emission reductions from using E10, E25, and E40 relative to baseline E10 gasoline were 8%, 18%, and 28%, with a 5% MPGGE gain, while using E40 achieved a 32% total WTW GHG emission reduction, with a 10% MPGGE gain.”

<https://greet.es.anl.gov/files/high-octane-various-shares>